Local fibrations and Diaconescu's theorem

Léo Bartoli joint work with Olivia Caramello

Istituto Grothendieck ETH Zürich

September 2024

 2990

Relative toposes

- Relative topos theory allows us to study properties of toposes relatively to one another, doing topos theory over an arbitrary base topos.
- Some phenomena are more naturally understood by incorporating part of the complexity in the base topos.
- As usual in category theory, the good way of studying objects is by studying how do they interact with one another. In the setting of relative toposes, it is thus important to investigate relative geometric morphisms, and how they can be generated by functors between relative sites.
- **The fibrational language and particularly the canonical stack of** a relative topos will be the setting allowing us to make a good parallel with the absolute situation, and obtain a good adaptation of notions and results from the ordinary to the relative setting.

These are the concepts that we are going to translate from the usual topos theory to the relative topos theory:

Relative toposes

Definition

A relative topos, or a topos over a base topos $\mathcal F$ is a geometric morphism $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$.

 \blacksquare A relative topos comes with its canonical stack: $\mathcal{S}_f: \mathcal{F}^{op} \to \mathsf{CAT}$ sending an object $\mathcal F$ of the base topos $\mathcal F$ to the topos $\mathcal{E}/f^*(F)$, with transition morphisms associated to arrows in the base topos $g : F' \to F$ given by pullback:

The fibration associated to this stack is $\pi_f : (\mathcal{E} \downarrow f^*) \to \mathcal{F}.$ **KORKA BRADE KORA**

Definition

Let $[f]$ and $[f']$ denote two relative toposes $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $f':{\mathcal E}' \to {\mathcal F}.$ A relative geometric morphism $g:[f] \to [f']$ is a geometric morphism $g : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ such that:

is commutative up to isomorphism.

This says that, for any object F in the basis $g^*(f'^*(F)) \simeq f^*(F)$: the interpretation of the objects coming the basis are fixed by g^* , as if they were parameters.

4 0 > 4 4 + 4 = > 4 = > = + + 0 4 0 +

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | ⊙Q @

Recall that an indexed category is a pseudofunctor $\mathbb{D}: C^{op} \to \mathsf{Cat}.$ We can put all the information contained in the functor together into a fibration $p : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{C}$.

- **The category** $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$ **has for objects the** (x, c) **where c is an** object of C and x is an object of $\mathbb{D}(c)$.
- An arrow $(u, f) : (x, c) \rightarrow (x', c')$ is the data of an arrow $f: c \to c'$ in the basis, and an arrow $u: x \to \mathbb{D}(f)(x')$ in the category $\mathbb{D}(c)$.
- The arrows of the form $(1, f): (\mathbb{D}(f)(x), c') \to (x, c)$ are called cartesian arrows. They are, in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$, the representatives of the action of the pseudofunctor D on objects.
- A morphism of fibrations is a functor $A: \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}^{\prime})$ preserving cartesian arrows and such that $p'A \simeq p$.

A topos is built from a category with a topology. If we want to do some kind of topos theory inside a base topos, we want to have a notion of category with a topology living in this base topos. The relevant notion is the one of stack, or, more generally, of fibration:

Definition

- For a fibration $p : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{C}$ on a site (\mathcal{C}, J) , the topology on $G(\mathbb{D})$ where the covering sieves are those containing a set of cartesian arrows $(1,f_i)_i$ of which the projection $(f_i)_i$ in (\mathcal{C},J) is covering is called the Giraud's topology.
- We call *relative site* a fibration $p : (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}), K) \to (\mathcal{C}, J)$ where K contains Giraud's topology. It induces a relative topos, $C_p : \widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})}_K \to \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$, where $C_p^* = a_k(- \circ p)$.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | ⊙Q @

Canonical site for a relative topos

- If we have a relative topos $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$, we can consider its canonical stack $\pi_f: (\mathcal{E}\downarrow f^*) \to \mathcal{F}$ viewed as a fibration.
- We can endow it with a topology denoted J_f asking for arrows $((u_i,v_i):(E_i,F_i,\alpha_i:E_i\rightarrow f^*(F_i))\rightarrow (E,F,\alpha:E\rightarrow f^*(F))_i$ to be covering if and only if their first components $(u_i: E_i \rightarrow E)_i$ are jointly epimorphic.
- \blacksquare We have that :

so that every relative topos is induced by at least a relative site: its canonical relative site.

KORKAR KERKER EL VOLO

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | ⊙Q @

Now that we have relative sites, we want to study which functors will induce relative geometric morphisms:

As the structural morphisms p and p' are comorphism of sites (inducing an inverse image as a precomposition), and we want A to be a morphism of sites (inverse image induced by left Kan extension), it is not trivial to conciliate this two ways of inducing geometric morphisms. Indeed, the natural isomorphism φ : $p' A \simeq p$ induces a natural transformation $\tilde{\varphi} : Sh(A)^* C_p^* \to C_{p'}^*$ which is not necessary an isomorphism.**KORKAR KERKER EL POLO**

The η functor

- If we have a comorphism of sites $p : (\mathcal{D}, K) \to (\mathcal{C}, J)$ (for example, (D, K) being a relative site, i.e. $D = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$ and K contains its Giraud's topology), we have a dense bimorphism of sites: $\eta_{\mathcal{D}} : (\mathcal{D}, K) \to ((\hat{\mathcal{D}}_K \downarrow C_p^*), J_{C_p})$ which goes from the site to the canonical relative site of its associated relative topos.
- It is a relative analogue to $I_K: (\mathcal{D}, K) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}} \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{a_K} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_K$.
- If we are working with a relative site $p : (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}), K) \to (\mathcal{C}, J)$, the η -functor $\eta_\mathbb{D}:(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{D}),K)\to ((\widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})}_K\downarrow C_p^*),J_{C_p})$ is a morphism of fibrations.
- If acts by sending an object d of D to the object $\eta_\mathcal{D}(d): I_\mathcal{K}(d) \rightarrow C^*_\rho(I_\mathcal{K} p(d))$ of $(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\mathcal{K} \downarrow C^*_\rho)_{J_{C_\rho}}$ given as: ${\sf a}_{\mathcal K}(\operatorname{\sf ev}_{1_{p(d)}}):{\sf a}_{\mathcal K}(\mathcal D(-,d))\to{\sf a}_{\mathcal K}(\mathcal C(p-,p(d))).$

Extending A

For "absolute" morphisms of sites, studying if a functor A induces a geometric morphism reduces to see when its extension $\mathsf{Sh}(A)^*$ along the canonical functors is colimits and finite-limits preserving:

In the relative case, we exhibit the η -extension of a morphism of sites A, which plays the same role:

$$
((\widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})}_K \downarrow C^*_p), J_{C_p}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{A}} ((\widehat{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D'})}_{K'} \downarrow C^*_{p'}), J_{C_{p'}})
$$

$$
\uparrow_{\mathbb{D}} \uparrow \uparrow_{\mathbb{D}'}
$$

$$
(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}), K) \xrightarrow{A} (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D'}), K')
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | ⊙Q @

If we take a morphism of sites between two relative sites $A: ({\mathcal G}({\mathbb D}),{\mathcal K})\to ({\mathcal G}({\mathbb D}'),{\mathcal K}')$ such that $\rho' A\simeq \rho,$ we define the n -extension of A :

KORKARA KERKER SAGA

 \widetilde{A} sends an object $G \stackrel{u}{\rightarrow} C_p^*(F)$ to the object: $\mathsf{Sh}(A)^*(G) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Sh}(A)^*(u)} \mathsf{Sh}(A)^* C^*_\rho(F) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}_F} C^*_{\rho'}(F)$ We have a characterization in terms of this extension for A to induce a relative geometric morphism. It is the case if and only if, equivalently:

- \blacksquare A is a morphism of fibrations
- 2 A preserves finite limits fiberwise.

Using this characterization, we can obtain:

Theorem

If we have a functor $A: (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}), K) \to (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}'), K')$ such that $p' A \simeq p$ which is a morphism of fibrations and of sites, it induces a relative geometric morphism.

KORKA BRADE KORA

Actually, being a morphism of fibrations is only sufficient for A to induce a relative geometric morphism. Indeed, when we examine the situation, we see that it is only necessary for A to send cartesian arrows on arrows sent by $\eta_{\text{ID}'}$ to cartesian arrows in the canonical stack of $[C_p]$:

((G[(D)^K ↓ C ∗ p), JC^p) ((G\(D′)K′ [↓] ^C ∗ p ′), JC^p ′) (G(D),K) (G(D ′),K ′) Ae ηD A ηD′

4 0 > 4 4 + 4 = > 4 = > = + + 0 4 0 +

Locally cartesian arrow

This leads us to the following definition:

Definition

For an arbitrary comorphims $p : (\mathcal{D}, K) \to (\mathcal{C}, J)$ we have the η -functor:

We say that an arrow $f:d' \to d$ in ${\cal D}$ is locally cartesian (with respect to K) if $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ is cartesian.

In particular, every cartesian arrow is locally cartesian. Conversely for the canonical relative site of some relative topos, the locally cartesian arrows are exactly the cartesian on[es.](#page-17-0) With this notion of locally cartesian arrow comes a notion of local fibration:

Definition

Let $p:(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{K})\to(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{J})$ be a comorphism of sites. We call it a local fibration if for every arrow $f : c \rightarrow p(d)$ in C there exists a J-covering $(v_i : p(d_i) \rightarrow c)_i$ such that $f v_i = p(f_i)$ with the $f_i: d_i \rightarrow d$ being locally cartesian arrows.

Example

Any fibration is a local fibration: the coverings can be taken as being isomorphisms.

We say that a functor between two local fibrations is a morphism of local fibrations if it preserves locally cartesian arrows and makes the obvious triangle commute.KID KA KERKER E VOOR In this setting, we have the following result:

Theorem

Let $p:(D,K)\to(\mathcal{C},J)$ and $p':(\mathcal{D}',K')\to(\mathcal{C},J)$ be two local fibrations and $A: (D, K) \rightarrow (D', K')$ a morphism of sites such that $p'A \simeq p$. We have an equivalence:

KORKARA KERKER SAGA

- The morphism of topos $Sh(A)$ is a morphism of relative toposes, that is: $C_pSh(A) \simeq C_{p'}$.
- The η -extension A is a morphism of fibrations.
- The functor A is a morphism of local fibrations.

From this theorem we obtain the following:

Theorem

Let (C, J) be a site, $p : (\mathcal{D}, K) \to (\mathcal{C}, J)$ be a local fibration, and $f:\mathcal{E}\to \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$ a relative topos with $\pi_f:(\mathcal{E}\downarrow f^*l_J)\to \mathcal{C}$ its canonical stack.

If we denote $\mathsf{LocFibSites}([p], [\pi_f])$ the category of morphisms of local fibrations and sites between (D, K) and $((\mathcal{E} \downarrow f^* I_J), J_f)$, we have the equivalence:

$$
\mathsf{LocFibSites}([p], [\pi_f]) \simeq \mathsf{Topos} / \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J([f], [C_p])
$$

KORKA BRADE KORA

For fibrations, it appears that the morphisms of local fibrations into the canonical stack are morphisms of fibrations, so:

Theorem

Let (C, J) be a site, $p : (\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}), K) \to (C, J)$ be a relative site, and $f:\mathcal{E}\to \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$ a relative topos with $\pi_f:(\mathcal{E}\downarrow f^*l_J)\to \mathcal{C}$ its canonical stack.

If we denote ${\sf FibSites}([p], [\pi_f])$ the category of morphisms of fibrations and sites between $(G(\mathbb{D}), K)$ and $((\mathcal{E} \downarrow f^* I_J), J_f)$, we have the equivalence:

$$
\mathsf{FibSites}([\rho],[\pi_f])\simeq \mathsf{Topos}/\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J([f],[\mathcal{C}_p])
$$

KORKARA KERKER SAGA